

## TOOLS OF RISK ANALYSIS

### Applications of Epidemiology

#### I. Overview

#### II. Risk assessment epidemiology

- A. Definition: a description of the change in the incidence rate of a disease due to a known change in the level of exposure to a cause
- B. Purposes:
- guide public health policies
  - guide the regulatory process
  - assist in tort resolution
- C. Foundations:
- basic science - nature of affect
  - animal studies - describe potency
- D. Growing importance of epidemiology: - usually the weakest & most difficult, - but most important
- ✓ advances in methodology
  - ✓ reduced reliance on animal research (species barrier)
  - bases in law (opposition to the use of animal)
  - the desire to produce an affect
- Extrapolation is objective  
generalization - subjective  
animals have a single exposure and the

#### III. Epidemiology - general

- A. Definitions: the study of the distribution and determinants of disease in man
- an observational science dealing with the environmental causes of diseases of human beings
- B. Strengths
- human beings
  - human lifestyles
- C. Limitations
- non-experimental
  - often qualitative

#### IV. Selected measures

- A. Incidence rate
- $I = \text{new cases} / (\text{population} \times \text{time})$
- example: the incidence rate of leukemia is 10.1 cases per 100,000 person-years
- B. Risk
- $R = \text{new cases} / \text{population}$
- example: the lifetime risk of developing leukemia is 700 per 100,000 persons, or 0.7%

2025545795

C. Relative incidence rate (relative risk, RR)

$RR = \frac{\text{the incidence rate in an exposed group}}{\text{divided by that in a non-exposed group}}$

example: the RI of leukemia among rubber workers is 4.5 (base = 1.0)

D. Standardized mortality ratio

SMR = the number of deaths observed (usually in an occupational group) divided by the number of deaths expected

example: among pliofilm workers the SMR is 337 (base = 100)

*sex-race effects have been eliminated*

V. Study designs - general

A. Descriptive studies *the individual human being is not studied - a group is study - Correlational studies*

B. Follow-up (cohort) studies - *Analytic*

a. prospective - *less into the future every individual is followed*

b. retrospective - *past most common*

C. Case-control - *less important for RA - selection of disease begin with people with and without disease & determine exposure*

D. Proportional mortality ratio (PMR)

VI. Study designs - specific

A. The retrospective follow-up design

Example: 1165 rubber hydrochloride (pliofilm) workers followed-up from 1950-81 experienced 9 deaths from leukemia with 2.7 expected, an SMR of 337

Advantages: fast, inexpensive *1-2 years*  
exposure based  
profile of effects (all causes of death)  
relatively free of bias (*systematic error*)

Limitations: inadequate exposure-possible (*info terrible*)  
inadequate exposure documentation - usual  
prone to chance -  
prone to confounding *alternative cause*

2025545796

B. The case-control design *not often used for RA*  
*considered not very precise*

Example: 138 adults with leukemia, resident in  
Olmsted County MN, were compared with  
276 adults without leukemia. Informa-  
tion on benzene exposure was abstracted  
from medical records. Among persons  
with benzene exposure, the RI of leuke-  
mia was 3.3 compared to persons without  
exposure.

Advantages: fast *6 months*  
profile of exposures  
control confounding  
precise (not prone to chance)  
suitable for rare disease

Limitations: single disease  
only relative measures of disease  
prone to bias - *difficult to prove the controls*  
*are truly the same as the cases*

#### VII. Interpretations - *How do these influence outcome*

- A. Chance - *make study large*
- B. Bias - *try to deal with possible biases in*  
*design phase*
- C. Confounding - *gather lots of data on other known*  
*diseases*
- D. Valid  
causal  
null

Comment: not mutually exclusive  
not permanent

#### VIII. Causality

- A. Individual study  
strength  
internal consistency  
biological credibility
- B. Abstract, general case  
external consistency  
response to manipulation

2025545797

- C. Specific individual case - for tort cases  
relevant exposure  
absence of alternative cause

IX. Benzene and leukemia - A model risk assessment

- A. Basic science  
not genotoxic - not a mutagenic  
damages chromosomes - not clear by what mechanism

- B. Animal studies  
carcinogenic  
leukemogenicity problematic

- C. Epidemiologic studies 17 studies → 14 pos, 2 neg, 1 ?  
generally positive for AML negative for other leukemia  
poor quantification of exposure  
some potential confounding - other solvents  
*all studies weak on exposure*

- D. Epidemiologic data\*  
- observed deaths: leukemia 19  
- expected deaths: 9.6  
- total deaths: 1273  
- mean cum. exposure: 42 ppm-yrs  
*intensity level x years*

- E. Risk assessment  
- excess deaths:  $19 - 9.6 = 9.4$   
- excess deaths/1000:  $9.4 / 1.273 = 7.4 / 1000$  - exposed  
- baseline risk:  $7 / 1000$   
- doubling dose:

how much does he need to be exposed to double the risk  
 $(14 / 14.7)(42 \text{ ppm-yrs}) = 40 \text{ ppm-yrs}$   
20 ppm for 2 yrs

X. The OSHA standard

- A. For many years  
= 10 ppm 8 hr TWA  
30 yrs x 10 ppm = 300 ppm-yrs  
 $\approx 7$  doublings =  $800 / 1000$  = unacceptable  
 $\approx 7$  additions  $\approx 56$  deaths/1000

- B. Currently = 1 ppm 8 hr TWA  
30 ppm yrs  
 $\approx 1.75$  baseline  $\approx 5$  excess  
deaths/1000 exposed

- 5 -

- C. Issues - Model Assumes -
- linear dose response
  - non-threshold
  - other

4 September 1991  
Philip Cole, M.D.

Austin H, Delzell E, Cole P: Benzene and leukemia: A review of the literature and a risk assessment. Am J Epidemiol 127:419-439, 1988.

2025545799